The Impact of Vaccination Against *Lawsonia intracellularis* on Shedding of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium and the Gut Microbiome

Fernando L. L. Leite¹, Randall S. Singer¹, Tonya Ward², Connie J. Gebhart¹, Richard E. Isaacson¹

1: Department of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences; 2: Biotechnology Institute, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN, USA

INTRODUCTION

 Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium and Lawsonia intracellularis are two of the most prevalent intestinal pathogens of swine. L. intracellularis causes proliferative enteropathy, a disease which leads to decreased weight gain, diarrhea and production loss. Salmonella Typhimurium causes diarrhea but also results in subclinical persistent colonization of pigs and can lead to food borne illnesses. Salmonella enterica is a leading cause of foodborne illness worldwide and is also a leading cause of death due to food borne illnesses^{1,2}. It has been estimated that the economic losses due to salmonellosis in the USA exceeds \$3 billion per year³. Strategies aimed at reducing the burden of Salmonella enterica in all meats are crucial, including pork. L. intracellularis infection has been found as a risk factor for increased S. Typhimurium shedding in swine⁴.



• The objective of this study was to investigate if oral live vaccination against *L. intracellularis* could lead to decreased *S.* Typhimurium shedding and if vaccine induced changes were related to changes in the gut microbiome.



- 1. Non-infected control (n=6) (Control)
- 2. S. Typhimurium infection (Sal)
- 3. S. Typhimurium, *L. intracellularis* co-infection (Sal Law)
- 4. S. Typhimurium infection and vaccination with Enterisol[®] lleitis* (Sal Vac)
- 5. S. Typhimurium, *L. intracellularis* co-infection and vaccination with Enterisol[®] lleitis*(Sal Law Vac)

Figure 1. Fecal shedding of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium over time. Significant differences between treatment groups are designated by different letters (p < 0.05).

Figure 2. Principal coordinate analysis of microbiome composition among different treatment groups at 7 das post *Salmonella* infection (ANOSIM p< 0.05). Note the distinct clustering of the Sal Law Vac group.

* Boehringer Ingelheim Vetmedica

Timeline of Treatments

- Days post Salmonella Infection (dpi) and Treatment
 - 28 dpi Enterisol[®] Ileitis vaccination
 - -7 dpi *L. Intracellularis* challenge with 2 x 10⁹ cfu
 - 0 dpi S. Typhimurium challenge with 1 x 10⁸ cfu + Sample collection
 - 2 dpi Sample collection
 - 7 dpi Sample collection
 - 14 dpi Sample collection
 - 21 dpi Sample collection
 - 28 dpi Sample collection
 - 49 dpi Last collection time point and euthanasia of animals

Salmonella quantification

Figure 3. Differentially abundant bacteria identified comparing Sal Law to Sal Law Vac treatment at 7 days post *Salmonella* infection. Vaccine increased abundance of *C. butyricum* and decreased abundance of *C. aerofaciens* and *P. copri*.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

- Vaccination against *L. intracellularis* significantly reduced *Salmonella* shedding (*p*<0.05, Figure 1) in co-infected animals.
- Significant differences in beta diversity were found (ANOSIM *p*<0.05, Figure 2). The Sal Law Vac group had a distinct microbiome community structure from other groups demonstrating that vaccination led to a different gut microbiome response to Salmonella infection.
- Vaccination increased Clostridium and C. butyricum which produce butyrate, a

and incubationRappaport-
Rappaport-
vassiliadis forquantification in
XLT4 Platesconfirmed by
invA gene PCRfor 48hrs24 hrs

Most Probable Number (MPN) enrichment quantification method

short chain fatty acid that can down regulate *Salmonella* invasion genes⁵. *Prevotella corpri* and *Collinsella aerofaciens* were decreased, these are pathobionts that can induce high inflammatory responses that could favor *Salmonella* infection^{6,7}.

• These results indicate that vaccination against *L. intracellularis* in co-infected herds may provide a new tool to increase food safety and animal health by decreasing *Salmonella* shedding and transmission without the need for antibiotics.

References:

Kirk, PLoS Med. 12, 1–21 (2015).
Scallan, Emerg. Infect. Dis. 17, 7–15 (2011).
Hoffmann, Food Prot. 75, 1292–1302 (2012).
Beloeil, Prev. Vet. Med. 63, 103–120 (2004).
Gantois, Gene Expression. 72, 946–949 (2006).
Zhang, Cell Walls. 69, 7277–7284 (2001).
Dillon, Mucosal Immunol. 9, 24–37 (2016).

United States Department of Agriculture National Institute of Food and Agriculture

